

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/029,271	12/28/2001	Alex Horng	HORN3084/EM	4082
75	590 06/13/2002			
Bacon & Thomas			EXAMINER	
625 Slaters Lane - 4th Floor Alexandria, VA 22314			NGUYEN, HANH N	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2834	
		DATE MAILED: 06/13/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		14
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/029,271	HORNG ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
•	HANH NGUYEN	2834
The MAILING DATE of this communication	n appears on the cover sheet i	with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Control of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. , a reply within the statutory minimum of th period will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mo statute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	1	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice up Disposition of Claims	-	•
4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application	ation.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit	hdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 28 December 2001		
Applicant may not request that any objection		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _		disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ie Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120) (C 440(-) (-) (6)
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C	5. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority docu		
2. Certified copies of the priority docu		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation * See the attached detailed Office action for	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))).
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do	mestic priority under 35 U.S.0	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) The translation of the foreign languages 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do Attachment(s)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-943) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N 	18) 5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office	fice Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 3

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1. Claims 1,2,4,7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Muller.

Regarding claim 1, Muller discloses a rotation shaft support structure of a motor, comprising: a shaft tube (18 in Fig. 1), having an inner wall provided with at least one bearing (hydrodynamic bearing between the shaft and the shaft tube) in which a rotation shaft (9) may be rotated; a seal member (23), made of metallic material and securely combined on one end of the shaft tube (18); and a support member (19), made of a wear resistant non-metallic material (plastic), mounted in one end of the shaft tube, and supported by the seal member (23), the support member having a resting portion (top surface of support member 19) which has a periphery (the perimeter of a circle) provided with an annular wall (because the support member 19 has a cylindrical shape), and one end of the rotation shaft being rested on the resting portion.

Regarding claim 2, Muller also shows the rotation shaft support structure of a motor wherein the seal member has a recess (the hollow portion) and has a periphery provided with an annular wall, and the support member may be placed in the recess.

Art Unit: 2834

Regarding claim 4, Muller also shows the rotation shaft support structure of a motor wherein the area circled by the annular wall of the support member is slightly greater than the outer diameter of the rotation shaft (as can be seen clearly in Fig. 1).

Regarding claim 7, Muller also shows the rotation shaft support structure of a motor wherein the annular lip of the support member is rested on the annular wall of the seal member.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Muller in view of Umeda et al.

Regarding claim 5, Muller shows all limitations of the claimed invention except showing the rotation shaft support structure of a motor wherein the support member (30 in Fig. 1 and 2) is formed with a cup-shape or a bowl-shape.

However, Umeda et al. disclose the rotation shaft support structure of a motor wherein the support member is formed with a cup-shape or a bowl-shape for the purpose of securing the shaft.

Since Muller and Umeda et al. are in the same field of endeavor, the purpose disclosed by Umeda et al. would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Muller.

Art Unit: 2834

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having an ordinary skill in the art to modify Muller by forming a support member with a cup-shape or a bowl-shape as taught by Umeda et al. for the purpose of securing the shaft.

Regarding claim 6, the support member (30) in Fig. 2 is also formed with an inverter hat-shape, and has a resting portion (50) and an annular lip.

3. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Muller in view of Papst et al.

Regarding claim 6, Muller shows all limitations of the claimed invention except showing the rotation shaft support structure of a motor wherein the annular wall of the support member is formed by multiple separable plates which may be bent and may be rested on the inner wall of the seal member.

However, Papst et al. disclose the rotation shaft support structure of a motor wherein the annular wall of the end plate (42) is formed by multiple separable plates (42) which may be bent to form a cylindrical shape and may be rested on the inner portion of the slip ring for the purpose of simplifying the production process.

Since Muller and Papst et al. are in the same field of endeavor, the purpose disclosed by Papst et al. would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Muller.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having an ordinary skill in the art to modify Muller by forming a support member with multiple separable plates which may be bent and may be rested on the inner wall of the

Art Unit: 2834

seal member as taught by Papst et al. for the purpose of simplifying the production process.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hanh N Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-3466. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner 's supervisor, Nestor Ramirez can be reached on (703) 308-1371. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3431 for regular communications and (703) 305-3431 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

HNN

June 5, 2002

NESTOR RAMIREZ
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLUGY DENTER 2800

Page 5